

GOOD, BAD OR UGLY BECAUSE ...

Good and functional. Anyone remember what it looked like before?

A good example of solar shading and modern design.

Ugly – but a fait accompli.

Ugly – even ruins the views from Herm.

Good – we compete in a world economy – these buildings are fitting and practical – provided they are not everywhere.

Ugly – out of character and proportion.

Ugly – the planners/architects demonstrate lack of taste and a total disrespect for the coastline.

Ugly – doesn't fit in with island.

These buildings need to exist for the finance industry to impress foreign clients. They can't impede on the general view of St. Peter Port though.

Bad ... too modern for Guernsey.

Modernising Guernsey – shouldn't go to excess!

Bringing business.

Good, managing modern architecture without harming Guernsey's heritage and history.

Bad – looks OK now but might not date well.

Good – old & new architecture – island not stuck in the past.

Good – appropriate modern architecture instead of Guernsey "pastiche" in the right place.

Bad – location

Good – high quality image of Guernsey's finance sector – robust & secure

Bad – too hot and bright in the summer and too much to heat in the winter.

Represents 21st Century – Warts and All

Good – created much greater wealth than the asbestos sheds ever did – they must continue

"Incongruous" with surroundings but great for the city.

... IN THE FUTURE WE SHOULD ...

Building regulators to incorporate maximum height limits and zero carbon emissions.

Ensure that it doesn't happen again.

Change regulations to ensure better appearance and insulation.

Pull it down and build something more appropriate instead.

Control the percentage of land that is occupied by new buildings.

Should be kept to certain areas.

Modern buildings are necessary, but we must preserve the best of what we have/Jersey town hasn't.

Consider the need for new large scale office developments.

Maintain strict quality control of buildings.

